

07-01-06

AC

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

SMARISSUED Patent No.

6,916,824

Issue Date

July 12, 2005

00/742

Appl. No. Filing Date:

09/712,612 November 13, 2000

TC/A.U.

1614

Examiner

Fay, Zohreh A.

**Applicant** 

Hua et al.

For :

Methods of Treating Cataracts and Diabetic

Retinopathy with Tricyclic Pyrones

Docket No.

90-99

Customer No.

23713

Commissioner for Patents

Attention: Certificate of Corrections Branch

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage for Express Mail in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents,

Certificate

FEB 1 0 2006

of Correction

Attention: Certificate of Corrections Branch PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450,

February 7, 2006

Date

¢athy Nelson

EV 758 238 115 US Express Mail Tracking Number

### REQUEST FOR CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.322

Sir:

Please issue a Certificate of Correction for U. S. Patent 6,916,824, as errors appear in the printed patent. Enclosed are two copies of Form PTO/SB/44 with the errors listed thereon. Also enclosed are copies of the specification pages and Examiner's Amendment referred to herein.

The printing errors appeared correctly in the application, as shown by the enclosed copies of the specification pages as originally filed. Specifically, the errors in column 17, lines 35 and 39, appear correctly on page 26, lines 16 and 18 of the application as originally filed.

The error in column 30, line 42, appears correctly on page 44, line 15 of the application as originally filed.

The error in column 34, line 6, appears correctly on page 50, line 16 of the

application as originally filed.

The error in Column 38, Claim 1, line 11, appears in the Examiner's Amendment

received with the Notice of Allowance dated February 7, 2005.

The error in Column 38, Claim 1, line 25, appears in the Examiner's Amendment

received with the Notice of Allowance dated February 7, 2005 and the Response to the

Final Office Action transmitted by facsimile on December 13, 2004.

The error in Column 39, Claim 8, line 50, appears in the Examiner's Amendment

received with the Notice of Allowance dated February 7, 2005.

The error in Column 40, Claim 8, line 10, appears in the Examiner's Amendment

received with the Notice of Allowance dated February 7, 2005 and the Response to the

Final Office Action transmitted by facsimile on December 13, 2004.

It is believed that the present submission does not require the payment of any

fees. If this is incorrect however, please charge any required fee to Deposit Account

No. 07-1969.

Respectfully submitted,

Susan K. Doughty

Reg. No. 43,595

GREENLEE, WINNER AND SULLIVAN, P.C.

4875 Pearl East Circle, Suite 200

Boulder, CO 80301

Telephone: (303) 499-8080 Facsimile: (303) 499-8089

E-mail: winner@greenwin.com Attorney Docket No. 90-99

February 7, 2006

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

### CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

Page 1 of 1

PATENT NO.

: 6,916,824 B2

APPLICATION NO.

: 09/712,612

ISSUE DATE

: July 12, 2005

INVENTOR(S)

: Hua et al.

It is certified that an error appears or errors appear in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

### In the Specification:

Column 17, line 35, replace "Toirestat" with -- Tolrestat ---.

Column 17, line 39, replace "Toirestat" with --Tolrestat--.

Column 30, line 42, replace "diisopropylarnine" with --diisopropylamine--.

Column 34, line 6, replace "140" with --0.140--.

#### In the Claims:

Column 38, line 11, Claim 1, replace "damage" with --damage,--.

Column 38, line 25, Claim 1, in the first structure replace "Ra3" with --R3---.

Column 39, line 50, Claim 8, replace "retina" with --retina, --.

Column 40, line 10, Claim 8, in the first structure replace "Ra3" with --R3--.

MAILING ADDRESS OF SENDER (Please do not use customer number below):

GREENLEE, WINNER AND SULLIVAN, P.C. 4875 Pearl East Circle, Suite 200 Boulder, CO 80301 Phone: (303) 499-8080

Fax: (303) 499-8089

email: winner@greenwin.com

Human aldose reductase (AR) was obtained from overexpression of the human AR gene in an E coli system and was purified by column chromatography using a talon metal affinity column and eluted with a gradient mixture of Tris, NaCl buffer and imidazole solution. The human AR inhibition assay was conducted as follows. In a sample cuvette, 25 mM of D-xylose (75 mg/mL) and 0.15 mM of reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) (4 mg/mL), and various amount of the inhibitor [in PBS (phosphate buffer saline) solution; the concentration of the inhibitor was determined using UV spectroscopy based on  $\epsilon_{\mbox{max}}$  at  $\lambda_{\mbox{max}}$  of the drug] in 700 uL of PBS (a solution made of 1.44 g of Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 0.24 g KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 0.2 g KCl, and 8 g NaCl in 1 L of distilled water) buffer (pH = 6.1) solution and 200 uL of the AR enzyme (a final volume of 1 mL was obtained). The intensity of absorption of NADPH ( $\lambda_{max}$  = 340 nm) was measured. When xylose is reduced to xylitol, NADPH is converted into NADP and the absorption at 340 nm decreases. When AR is inhibited by the drug, the absorption at 340 nm of NADPH remains unchanged. Each assay was repeated three times and an average IC50 value was obtained. Several tricyclic pyrones were tested for the inhibition of AR along with Tolrestat (obtained from Ayerst Laboratories Research, Inc., Princeton, NJ) and Sorbinil (obtained from the procedure given in Structure 1997, 5, 601-612) and the data are summarized in Table 3. Contrary to Tolrestat, compounds 1 and 2 are water soluble materials. As shown, compound 1 has greater inhibitory activity than Tolrestat and Sorbinil.

Table 3. Inhibition of Human Retina Aldose Reductase.

5

10

15

20

Inhibitor	Compound 1	Compound 2	Tolrestat	Sorbinil
IC50	2 nM	20 nM	5 nM	2 μΜ

25 Compounds 1 and 2 (up to 100 uM) have been added to bovine lens epithelial cells and no toxicity was found.

The enzyme assay was also performed for other compounds, as shown in Table 4.

(0.29 mmol) of 3-(methoxycarbonylmethyl)-1*H*,7*H*-5a,6,8,9-tetrahydro-1-oxopyrano[4,3-b][1]-benzopyran in a solution of 4 mL of THF and water (1:3) was added 0.033 g (0.58 mmol) of KOH at room temperature. The mixture was heated to 40°C for 14 h. It was cooled to room temperature, 30 mL of distilled water was then added, and was extracted three times with methylene chloride (40 mL each). The combined methylene chloride layer was washed with 30 mL of distilled water, and 30 mL of brine, and concentrated to give 0.021 g of starting material (26% recovery). The aqueous layer was acidified with 10 mL of 1 *N* HCl solution and extracted three times with methylene chloride (50 mL each). The combined organic layer was washed twice with distilled water (40 mL each), 40 mL of brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, concentrated to give 0.33 g of 1 (58% yield, based on recovered starting material).

5

10

15

20

25

30

## 5. $\{(5aS,7S)-7-\text{Isopropenyl-}1H,7H-5a,6,8,9-\text{tetrahydro-}1-\text{oxopyrano}[4,3-b][1]-\text{benzopyran-}3-yl\}$ acetic acid (6).

To a cold (-10 °C) solution of 0.27 mL (1.90 mmol) of disopropylamine in 5 mL of diethyl ether under argon was added 1.20 mL (1.90 mmol; 1.6 M solution in hexanes) of *n*-butyllithium via syringe and the solution was stirred for 1 hour at this temperature. In another flask, 0.250 g (0.97 mmol) of 24 in 5 mL THF under argon atmosphere was prepared and cooled to -78 °C. The freshly prepared LDA was added to the above solution via cannula. The solution was allowed to react at -78°C for 2 hours. Carbon dioxide was then flushed into the solution through a balloon and insertion of a needle to allow the gas to flush out from the reaction mixture. The color of the blue anion soon changed to brownish color. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 minutes, quenched with 10 mL saturated aqueous NaHCO3, and 10 mL distilled water, and extracted with ether (15 mL x 3). The aqueous layer was acidified with 6 N HCl and extracted with methylene chloride (30 mL x 3). The combined methylene chloride was washed with 30 mL water, and 30 mL brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated to give 0.271 g pure product.  $^{1}$ H NMR  $\delta$  6.09 (s, 1 H, C4H), 5.95 (s, 1 H, C10H), 5.14 (dd, J = 11.2, 4.8 Hz, 1 H, C5aH), 4.76 (s, =CH), 4.73 (s, =CH), 3.51 (s, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.50 (d, J = 14 Hz, 1 H),  $2.22 \sim 2.02$  (a series of m, 3 H),  $1.88 \sim 1.74$  (m, 2 H), 1.75 (s, 3 H, Me), 1.31 (m, 1 H).

# 16. (5aS,7S)-3-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)methyl-7-isopropenyl-1H,7H-5a,6,8,9-tetrahydro 1-oxopyrano[4,3-b][1]benzopyran (30)

5

10

15

20

25

To a cold (-10 °C) solution of 0.43 mL (3.10 mmol) of diisopropylamine in 20 mL of diethyl ether under argon was added 3.00 mL (3.10 mmol; 1.6 M solution in hexanes) of n-Butyl Lithium via syringe and the solution was stirred for 1 hour. In another flask, 0.400 g (1.55 mmol) of 24 in 20 mL of THF under argon was cooled to -78 °C. The freshly prepared LDA solution was added to the above solution at -78°C via cannula, then, HMPA was added to the reaction mixture via syringe and stirred at -78°C for 3 hours. To the reaction solution, 0.44 mL (3.1 mmol) of benzyl chloroformate in 20 mL THF was subsequently added to the anion solution at -78°C via cannula, and stirred for 2 more hours at this temperature. The reaction was diluted with 40 mL of distilled water and extracted three times with methylene chloride (40 mL each). The combined organic layer was washed with 40 mL of brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, concentrated, and column chromatographed through silica gel using a gradient mixture of hexane and ether to give  $0.140~{\rm g}$  of 30 (95% yield based on recovered starting material 24) and  $0.308~{\rm g}$  (77% recovery) of 24.  $^{1}H$  NMR  $\delta$  7.38 ~ 7.31 (m, 5 H, Ar), 6.08 (s, 1 H, C4H), 5.91 (s, 1 H, C10H), 5.28 (s, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>OC=O), 5.12 (dd, J = 5.2 Hz, 1.2 Hz, 1 H, C5aH), 4.75 (s, 1 H, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.72 (s, 1 H, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.50 (s, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>C=O),  $2.49 \sim 2.45$  (m, 1 H),  $2.21 \sim 2.01$ (m, 3 H),  $1.86 \sim 1.70$  (m, 2 H), 1.73 (s, 3 H, Me),  $1.34 \sim 1.25$  (m, 1 H); 13C NMR  $\delta$ 167.5 (s, C=O of ester), 162.6 (s, C=O), 161.9 (s, C3), 156.2 (s, C4a), 147.8 (s, C10a), 135.2 (s, Ar), 133.2 (s, C=), 128.7 (d, Ar), 128,6 (d, Ar), 128.4 (d, Ar), 109.8 (d, C10), 109.3 (t, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 102.2 (d, C4), 98.8 (s, C9a), 79.5 (d, C5a), 67.5 (t, OCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 43.4 (t, CH<sub>2</sub>), 39.9 (d, CH), 39.4 (t, CH<sub>2</sub>), 32.4 (t, CH<sub>2</sub>), 31.9 (t, CH<sub>2</sub>), 20.8 (q, Me).

## 17. (5aS,7S)-3-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)methyl-7-(2-hydroxy-1-methyl)ethyl-1H,7H-5a,6,8,9-tetrahydro-1-oxopyrano[4,3-b][1]benzopyran (31)

A solution of 0.115 g (0.29 mmol) of 30 and 0.15 mL (0.15 mmol) of BH<sub>3</sub>•THF complex (1.0 M in THF) in 5 mL THF was stirred for 1 h and then stored at -25°C for

OVPE			Ľ		
( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
FFB 0 7 2006	otice of Allowability	09/712,612	HUA ET AL.		
Į.		Examiner	Art Unit		
CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE		Zohreh Fay	1614		
All claims being allo herewith (or previou NOTICE OF ALLO) of the Office or upon	MAILING DATE of this communication application was proposed by the property of	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate commits application is and MPEP 1308.	in this application. If not includ nunication will be mailed in due subject to withdrawal from issi	led	
1	claim(s) is/are <u>1-7 and 24</u> .	Tomarka mea on accembe	, 10, 200 <u>0</u> .		
3. M The drawing	s filed on [1]/13/ care accepted by the Examine	r.			
a)  All  1.  2.  6  2.  6  3.  6  * Certified co  Applicant has THF noted below. Fail THIS THREE-MO  5.  A SUBSTITU INFORMAL F  6.  CORRECTED (a)  including 1)  7  (b)  including Paper No Identifying indicic each sheet. Repla	ment is made of a claim for foreign priority uner by Some* c) None of the:  Certified copies of the priority documents have Certified copies of the priority documents have Copies of the certified copies of the priority do International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  Pies not received:  REE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" ure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN NTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.  TE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submed PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give to DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be changes required by the Notice of Draftspers the presence or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date  The changes required by the attached Examiner's comment sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the paper's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	e been received. e been received in Application cuments have been received of this communication to file.  MENT of this application.  Mitted. Note the attached EX es reason(s) why the oath of the submitted.  Son's Patent Drawing Reviews Amendment / Comment of the header according to 37 Circles it of BIOLOGICAL MAT	on No  ed in this national stage applicated in the Complex of the drawings in the front (not the FR 1.121(d).	equirements  NOTICE OF	
2. Notice of Draft  3. Information Dis Paper No./Ma	mment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	6. ⊠ Interview S Paper No. 8), 7. ⊠ Examiner's	nformal Patent Application (PT ummary (PTO-413), /Mail Date Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Alk	·	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-37 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 09/712,612

Art Unit: 1614

### **EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT**

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Susan Doughty on February 3, 2005.

The application has been amended as follows:

The attached claims 1-7 and 24 replace claims 1-7 and 24 filed on December 13, 2004.

In claim 1 line 2 "," has been added after "damage".

In claim 24 line 3 "," has been added after "retina".

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zohreh Fay whose telephone number is (571) 272-0573. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low can be reached on (571) 272-0951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Z.F

zohot Foy